

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO. Box 1459

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/075,976	02/14/2002	Michael J. May	83581 SLP	9258
7:	90 08/28/2003			
Thomas H. Close			EXAMINER	
Patent Legal Staff Eastman Kodak Company 343 State Street			TAWFIK, SAMEH	
Rochester, NY 14650-2201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3721	2
			DATE MAILED: 08/28/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)			
•		10/075,976	MAY, MICHAEL J.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Sameh H. Tawfik	3721			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHOI THE MA - Extension after SI; - If the potential in	REPLY	36(a). In no event, however, may a repty be till y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONK	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication . ED (35 U.S.C. & 133).			
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a)□	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	n of Claims					
, , –	claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application					
	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.				
	laim(s) is/are allowed.					
	claim(s) is/are rejected.					
	claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠ C Applicatio	claim(s) <u>1-25</u> are subject to restriction and/or on the contraction and o	election requirement.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)□ Tł	ne drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	oted or b)□ objected to by the Exa	miner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1	. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
2	. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicat	ion No			
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14)□ Ac	knowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language pro knowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ovisional application has been re-	ceived.			
Attachment(s		. ,				
2) Notice	o of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ution Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _		y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Flection/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-8, 23, and 24, drawn to a method of producing a package wrapper, classified in class 493, subclass 320.
- II. Claims 9-21 and 25, drawn to a method of producing a package wrapper, classified in class 493, subclass 322.
- III. Claim 22, drawn to a method of producing a package wrapper, classified in class 493, subclass 187.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions Groups I and II and Group III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require to have that printing the package wrapper at the remote location at the selected package wrapper size. The subcombination has separate utility such as the step of printing the package wrapper at the remote location at the selected package wrapper size.

Inventions Group I and Group II are related as combination and subcombination.

Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the

instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination require to have that the window which is movable relative to the image. The subcombination has separate utility such as the windowed image being located at the at least one predetermined location.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Ms. Susan Parulski on 4/1/2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sameh H. Tawfik whose telephone number is (703) 308-2809. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday - Friday from 8:00 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on (703) 308-2187. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3579 for regular communications and (703) 308-7769 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

ST.

August 26, 2003

EUGENE KIM PRIMARY EXAMINER